



**Brighton & Hove
City Council**

CABINET ADDENDUM

4.00PM, THURSDAY, 11 JUNE 2009

COUNCIL CHAMBER, HOVE TOWN HALL

ADDENDUM

ITEM	Page
22. DEPUTATIONS	1 - 2
(a) Primary Admissions in BN3 (copy attached).	
24. WRITTEN QUESTIONS FROM COUNCILLORS	3 - 8
With responses (copy attached).	
STRATEGIC & POLICY ISSUES	
28. Museum Plan	9 - 10
Extract from the proceedings of the Culture, Recreation & Tourism Cabinet Member Meeting held on 9 June 2009 (copy attached).	
FINANCIAL MATTERS	
31. Housing Revenue Account Budget 2009/10 Reduction in Rent Increases	11 - 12
Extract from the proceedings of the Housing Cabinet Member Meeting held on 3 June 2009 (copy attached).	

DEPUTATIONS FROM MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC

A period of not more than fifteen minutes shall be allowed at each ordinary meeting for the hearing of deputations from members of the public. Each deputation may be heard for a maximum of five minutes following which the Chairman or relevant Member of the Cabinet may speak in response. The deputation will be thanked for attending and its subject matter noted.

(a) Deputation concerning primary school allocations in BN3.**Pamela McKellar (Spokesperson)**

We are representing a group of parents who not been offered any of their three preferences, and have instead been offered schools anywhere between two and four miles from home!

We are unhappy and angry about this for the following reasons:

- We believe all children, particularly those of primary school age, should be able to walk to a local school, and we do not agree that it is acceptable for a child of four to walk four miles a day or more to and from school. How will they be expected to perform in and enjoy lessons? We would like to know how far a distance the council believes is acceptable for a child of this age to walk.
- If walking is out of the question, does the council think it is acceptable to add to the air pollution problems in Brighton and Hove – which they have acknowledged by refusing permission for housing developments in some of the worst affected areas – by forcing parents to drive their children miles to and from school, five days a week.
- In the *Children and Young People's Plan 2006-9*, the council pledges to “reduce journeys to school by car...by increasing journeys by foot...”. How is this being achieved when children are still being sent to schools as far as four miles from their homes.
- We believe that children should be supported within their communities in terms of the most basic issues – health and education, and that by sending them to schools that have no links to their local community, the authority is ignoring a real problem that has existed in this area for too long. The creation of 60 new places in 2 Hove schools was never going to be enough, and we are upset that the council considers this to be a success!
- We believe the long term answer to the school places problem lies in the creation of at least one more school for Hove, and we want to see the council make a firm commitment to this, but we also want to know what the council plans to do for the children who are without local school places this year.

WRITTEN QUESTIONS FROM COUNCILLORS

The following questions have been received from Councillors and will be taken as read along with the written answer to be circulated the meeting. The Councillor asking the question may then ask one relevant supplementary question which shall be put and answered without discussion.

(a) Councillor Davis

“We welcome the new document on the city’s Cultural Strategy presented at this committee. But, would like to ask what steps the Cabinet Member is taking now to ensure that the N1 11 ie. the participation in the arts indicator will reach its target in 2010?”

Response from Councillor Smith, Cabinet Member for Culture Recreation & Tourism.

“The data from the Active People survey demonstrates and celebrates our current success in enabling our residents to actively participate in the arts. Brighton & Hove has the highest level of participation outside London and the highest in the south east region and of all the other local authorities that have selected NI 11.

Currently participation stands at 61.2 % and our target is 64 .2% by October 2010.

Our strategy is to recognise and build on our current success. It is a clear indication that our current approach is a good one.

The partnership working between the council and arts and cultural organisations of all sizes in the city is central in delivering the vibrant calendar of cultural events that our residents and visitors enjoy and will continue to be at the heart of the city council’s plans to increase participation in the arts to 2010 and beyond.

The regional and national picture

We are sharing good practice and knowledge around NI 11 with Arts Council England and the 3 other local authorities in the region that have selected NI 11 (Wokingham, Kent and Milton Keynes) through regular planning meetings. We have also participated in a national conference about NI 11.

The local picture

We are consolidating data from local and regional sources about the current opportunities for participation in the arts in the city, who delivers them, who participates and attends and who doesn’t.

We will then share this with our local cultural delivery partners and work with them to establish how we can reach those who are not currently participating.

To this end we are organising an NI 11 meeting working with the audience development agency Audiences South in Brighton for the 3 July. This meeting has been funded by ACE

The meeting will have 3 main aims:

1. To introduce the cultural delivery partners to the detail of NI 11 including an introduction to the LAA and to the Active People survey
2. To share the ACE produced Propensity to Engage which shows where in the city the pockets of highest and lowest engagement in the arts are.
3. To ascertain what information on their audiences they already hold, identify gaps in participation and to share good practice in audience development.

Alongside this we are making the most of the opportunity of the Festivals Clusters pilot to invest in our festivals recognising that they play a key role in developing participation in the arts particularly at those traditionally non-engaged. An initial audit of the city found there to be 60 annual cultural festivals.

Building on current good practice

We have been focusing our existing investment, support and initiatives more tightly around NI 11 under our 'priority of 'providing excellent cultural opportunities for all'

ACE have identified 3 main types of event and activities that have the greatest potential to increase participation in the arts:

- Flagship outdoor events including initiatives in unexpected places
- Participatory projects with local people culminating in a community event
- Arts activities that people experience as part of everyday life

These are 3 areas in which the city's cultural sector already excel and which the city council will continue to prioritise through our annual and 3 year grant funding and through the Festival Clusters initiative which takes us through to 2010.

Flagship events

The city council and Arts Commission led White Night has participation at its heart and will continue to develop new partnerships in order to provide accessible arts activities in unexpected places and which people will experience as part of everyday life. New plans for 2009 include a programme of work with Sports Development and with Adult Learning Group with a focus on participatory cultural activity.

Our aim is for White Night to reach 20,000 people this year.

In Summary

In summary, our action plan is:

- To raise awareness of the requirements of NI 11 amongst our cultural delivery partners; to celebrate our success and develop a shared action plan

- To collate and share a picture of our current cultural offer and who is and is not participating
- To prioritise NI 11 outcomes through our grants programmes and through our strategic initiatives (such as Festival Clusters) and strategic events (such as White Night) and projects (such as participatory public art projects)
- To work with colleagues in other directorates to help embed NI 11 outcomes in projects and initiatives that are not arts initiated (for example input into Streets for People day, Adult Learning and Sports development)

To develop performance indicators and monitoring and evaluation for this investment that will help demonstrate NI 11 outcomes and provide additional context for the Active People survey.”

(b) Councillor Kitcat

“Can Cllr [Fallon-Khan] explain why the Regency Square war memorial continues to be in a state of disrepair nearly 8 months after residents, veterans and ward councillors first reported problems and what action is he taking to restore it?”

Response from Councillor Fallon-Khan, Cabinet Member for Central Services.

“In early 2008 at the request of ex Service Associations, T.E.Tilley, the local stonemasons who maintain all the council war memorials were instructed to scaffold out The Royal Sussex Regiment Boer War Memorial at the bottom of Regency Square and undertake a minor refurbishment to include replacement of a number of missing letters, cleaning down of the stonework and treating the metalwork including redecoration of any previously decorated surfaces. Tilley's have maintained this memorial for many years and advised that the metalwork had previously been painted. A specification was consequently agreed with the paint manufacturers and applied to the metal surfaces during the refurbishment.

The works were completed in May 2008. In November it became evident that the repainted surfaces were fading badly, with patchy discoloration although the paint film itself did not appear to be de-bonding. Once this was discovered, Tilley's were recalled to the site and since that time investigations have been undertaken between themselves and the paint manufacturer to establish the cause of the discoloration and identify a remedial procedure. Initial advice from the paint manufacturer in January 09 was to let the new coatings weather in so that the extent of the problem could be established. Since February the Council has had discussions with the Conservation Officer of the War Memorials Trust, Joanna Sanderson and Tilley's to agree the way forward.

Unfortunately we have been unable to establish the cause of the failure but all parties now accept that the new paint film clearly was not suitable. Tilley's have accepted **responsibility** for **resolving** the problem and remedial works will be carried out at no cost to the council.

Tilleys are currently on site cleaning the lower parts to see if the discolouration on the paint can be removed. The discolouration may have been caused by a reaction of the metal to the previous paint or between the paint surface and the atmosphere. It is a matter of testing out solutions on trial areas to see the specific results. If this test area proves that the discolouration can be successfully removed and over time remain as such, the advice is that if the discolouration does not return after a few months this may be considered the reasonable solution for the memorial.

However, if this is not the case we would look to remove the paint and see what condition the base bronze underneath is in. On this basis initial instructions have been issued to re-erect the scaffold around the structure so that the paint can be removed from a section of the monument to establish the surface condition of the underlying metal. Based on this investigation a joint group including the council's conservation officer, the War Memorials Trust, Tilleys and Property & Design will meet on site to agree the remedial work specification and the contractor will be instructed accordingly. This meeting will also aim to establish an effective conservation regime for the future.

Property & Design have corresponded with ward councillors and with the Royal Sussex Regimental Association to keep them updated with progress and to reassure them that the necessary action will be taken to rectify this problem. We are fully aware of the sensitive nature, and importance, of the memorial and hope to have an effective solution and way forward within the next few months and anticipate completion of the remedial works this Summer."

(c) Councillor Davis

"There is growing concern among parents of young children in my ward of Goldsmid at the shortage of local primary school places. Many parents have been told that they must send their children to schools a considerable distance from their homes, in some cases as much as four miles away. This is unacceptable for many young families.

Please would Cllr Vanessa Brown inform me how many children living in Goldsmid Ward have not been allocated places at primary schools in the recent admissions round that are within a walk of one mile from their homes, as encouraged by Brighton & Hove Council's own policy?"

Response from Councillor Brown, Cabinet Member for Children & Young People.

"The Council is investigating options to increase the number of primary school places in Hove and parts of Brighton in response to an unprecedented increase in the number of school age children. This increase is particularly evident in Hove, and Councillor Davis will be aware of the moves already made to permanently increase the size of the Davigdor and Somerhill schools, and the provision of additional places at West Blatchington Primary School.

Of the 136 children in the Goldsmid Ward allocated a Reception place for September, 91 will be attending a school less than a mile from their home.

There are 32 children who will be going to a school between 1 and 2 miles from their home, 15 by choice (10 first preferences, 2 second and 3 third) and 17 directed.

12 children were offered a school further than 2 miles but less than 3 miles, 10 were directed and 2 were by choice (one first preference and one third preference) One child was directed to a school over three miles from home.

Further options of both new build and the extension of existing schools are under evaluation, with the aim of providing two additional forms of entry in Hove beyond the permanent additional places already proposed.”

**EXTRACT FROM THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE CULTURE RECREATION &
TOURISM CABINET MEMBER MEETING HELD ON THE 9 JUNE 2009**

CULTURE, RECREATION & TOURISM CABINET MEMBER MEETING

4.00PM 9 JUNE 2009

ROOM 1, HOVE TOWN HALL

DRAFT MINUTES

Present: Councillor Smith (Cabinet Member)

Also in attendance: Councillor Davis (Opposition Spokesperson, Labour)

11 MUSEUM PLAN

- 11.1 The Cabinet Member considered a report of the Director of Culture and Enterprise which presented the Royal Pavilion & Museums Strategic Forward Plan 2009-2012 (for copy see minute book). The Plan set out the Council's vision for the service, building on the recent successes, and outlining the priorities and objectives for the next three years, in the context of the overall plans and ambitions for the city. The Plan demonstrated how the Royal Pavilion & Museums supported the Corporate Priorities and contributed to the key strategies for the city, including the Local Area Agreement and Corporate Plan.
- 11.2 The Head of Museums & Royal Pavilion highlighted the key aims for the division for the next three years as set out in paragraphs 3.3. Paragraph 3.4 set out the key areas in terms of priorities. Consultation on the plan had included a workshop held for the Culture, Tourism and Enterprise Overview & Scrutiny Committee in January 2009. The Plan would be subject to continual review, monitoring and updating.
- 11.3 Councillor Davis considered the plan to be of a high standard and supported developing audiences. However, she expressed concern about the reliance on Renaissance funding. She stressed that the funding position was less certain after 2011. She asked for confirmation that the funding was in place for 2009-2011. The Head of Museums & Royal Pavilion confirmed that funding was definitely secured for the period 2009-2011. Funding after that period was subject to review.
- 11.4 Councillor Davis made the point that it was clear that there needed to be investment in a number of areas such as IT and disabled access. She asked if the Cabinet Member Meeting could receive updates about Renaissance Funding, in addition to the report to the CMM and Culture, Tourism &

Enterprise Overview & Scrutiny Committee on an annual basis. The Head of Museums & Royal Pavilion confirmed that an update could be given to the CMM when the review was completed. She stressed that a great deal of work would be completed by 2011. Meanwhile, she considered it important to develop a fundraising unit, and that museums across the UK were being encouraged to become less reliant on government funding such as Renaissance.

- 11.5 Councillor Davis asked about Invest to Save. The Head of Museums & Royal Pavilion explained that this was a Council initiative which was enabling the Division to modernise its business systems to maximise its earned income through the development of new booking and ticket systems and a web link for online sales.
- 11.6 The Cabinet Member asked how much Renaissance funding had been provided nationally. The Head of Museums replied that the South East hub alone had received approximately 12 million for the period 2009-2012.
- 11.7 **RESOLVED** – Having considered the information and the reasons set out in the report, the Cabinet Member accepted the following recommendations:
- (1) That Cabinet be recommended to agree the Royal Pavilion & Museums Strategic Forward Plan 2009-2012.
 - (2) That the Cabinet Member Meeting receives an update about Renaissance funding in due course.

EXTRACT FROM THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE HOUSING CABINET MEMBER MEETING HELD ON 3 JUNE 2009

HOUSING CABINET MEMBER MEETING

4.00PM 3 JUNE 2009

COUNCIL CHAMBER, HOVE TOWN HALL

DRAFT MINUTES

Present: Councillor Caulfield (Cabinet Member)

Also in attendance: Councillor Simpson (Opposition Spokesperson, Labour)

13 HOUSING REVENUE ACCOUNT BUDGET 2009/10 REDUCTION IN RENT INCREASES

- 13.1 The Cabinet Member considered a report of the Director of Adult Social Care & Housing and the Director of Finance & Resources which explained that the Housing Revenue Account (HRA) Budget for 2009/10 was approved at Cabinet on 12 February 2009. The report included increases to rents in line with the Government's Subsidy Determination in January 2009. To support tenants, in light of the current economic climate, the Government had now issued a revised Subsidy Determination allowing Councils to reduce rent increases. The current report sought approval to revise the approved rent increases for 2009/10 in line with the Government's proposals (for copy see minute book).
- 13.2 The Head of Financial Services explained that the £1.98 per week average reduction for tenants would be backdated to 6 April 2009. The loss of rental income for the Council would be reimbursed through Housing Subsidy.
- 13.3 Councillor Simpson considered the reduction in rent increases to be good news. She also considered the delay in the rent convergent target date from 2012 to 2024 to be good news.
- 13.4 The Head of Financial Services explained that the government had set a floating convergent date. It was currently 2023/24 but would probably be brought forward.
- 13.5 The Cabinet Member asked if the council would have more money in the Housing Revenue Account if the proposals were rejected and the rent remained at the current rate. The Head of Financial Services replied that that would not be the case in this financial year.

- 13.6 The Cabinet Member considered the proposal to be beneficial to all concerned as it would result in tenants paying less and it making no difference financially to the council. She asked how the payment would be backdated. The Head of Financial Services replied that a letter was being sent out to tenants stating that a credit would be shown on their accounts.
- 13.5 Councillor Simpson considered the proposals would be beneficial to tenants who were not in receipt of housing benefit. This would be welcome in the current circumstances.
- 13.6 **RESOLVED** - Having considered the information and the reasons set out in the report, the Cabinet Member accepted the following recommendation.
- (1) That Cabinet be recommended to approve the amendments to individual rent increases and decreases in line with rent restructuring principles as determined by the latest Government Subsidy Determination issued in May 2009.